

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,884	10/538,884 06/14/2005		Holger Auchter	016906-0397	8845
22428	7590	10/05/2006		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AN SUITE 500	ID LARI	ONER LLP	BOCHNA, DAVID		
3000 K STR	EET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	WASHINGTON, DC 20007			3679	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/538,884 AUCHTER ET		•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David E. Bochna	3679	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this comm. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal ma	·	nerits is
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 6/14/05 is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the output of of the out	cepted or b)⊠ objected of the objected of the objected of the object of the object of the drawing of the drawing of the drawing object.	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	. s have been received. s have been received in a rity documents have bee a (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National St	age
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/538,884

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

1. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because it is not written in English.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed 6/14/05 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the radially outwardly extending tab must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must

Application/Control Number: 10/538,884 Page 3

Art Unit: 3679

be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

4. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The phrase "The invention relates to" should be removed from the abstract.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,884 Page 4

Art Unit: 3679

(c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.

- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (l) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 6. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Regarding claim 1, the phrase "in particular" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- 8. Claim 4, line 2, it is unclear what is meant by the phrase "in the region of bearing contacts". It is unclear how the end 8 can be sharp when it is depicted as being rounded at 9 in fig. 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,884 Page 5

Art Unit: 3679

9. Claim 6, it is unclear how no projecting tab is provided on the clamp when claim 5 requires a radially projecting tab and claim 6 depends from claim 5.

10. Claim 11 recites the limitation "the slot" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

12. Claims 1-6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by De Giacomoni.

In regard to claim 1, De Giacomoni discloses a clamp for fastening and connecting tubes 101, 102, characterized in that at least one end region 27 of the clamp 10 is bent back.

In regard to claim 2, characterized in that the bent-back end region 27 of the clamp 10 has at least one sharp edge 27.

In regard to claim 3, characterized in that, in the assembled state, the bent-back end region 27 is in bearing contact against at least one flange 107 or bead of a tube 102.

In regard to claim 4, characterized in that the bent-back end region has a sharp-edged design 27 in the region of bearing contacts (at 107).

In regard to claim 5, characterized in that a maximum of one tab 15 or 17 projecting radially outward in the assembled state is provided on the clamp 10.

In regard to claim 6, characterized in that no projecting tab is provided on the clamp (1).

Art Unit: 3679

In regard to claim 12, the clamp is designed essentially symmetrically with respect to a transverse axis.

13. Claims 1 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sedam.
In regard to claim 1, Sedam discloses a clamp 32 for fastening and connecting tubes 12,
14. characterized in that at least one end region 38 of the clamp 32 is bent back.

In regard to claim 2, characterized in that the bent-back end region 38 of the clamp 10 has at least one sharp edge.

In regard to claim 10, a slot 46, which runs in the longitudinal direction of the clamp is provided in the region of at least one end region of the clamp.

In regard to claim 11, the slot runs over two sides, 36 and 38.

In regard to claim 12, the clamp 32 is designed essentially symmetrically with respect to a transverse axis.

14. Claims 1 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hunter.

In regard to claim 1, Hunter discloses a clamp 3 for fastening and connecting tubes

10A,B, characterized in that at least one end region 36 of the clamp 30 is bent back.

In regard to claim 7, the end regions 36, 38 of the clamp are bent back in such a way that they form approximately the shape of a rounded triangle.

In regard to claim 8, the triangles have no angle above 90 degrees.

In regard to claim 9, the triangles are approximately equilateral.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,884

Art Unit: 3679

Conclusion

Page 7

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lorraine et al., Ebinger et al., Panasuk et al., Fadini et al., Gensert et al., Lorraine, Akutagawa et al. and Deweerdt all disclose similar couplings common in the art.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. Bochna whose telephone number is (571) 272-7078. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30 Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> David E. Bochna Primary Examiner Art Unit 3679